- 74. Defendants owed a fiduciary duty to Tekelec to supervise the issuance of the Company's press releases and public filings to ensure that they were truthful and accurate and that such filings conformed to applicable securities laws. Defendants, however, breached their fiduciary duties by failing to properly supervise and monitor the adequacy of Tekelec's internal controls and by allowing the Company to issue and disseminate misleading statements and filings.
- 75. Defendants have engaged in a sustained and systematic failure to exercise their oversight responsibilities and to ensure that Tekelec complied with applicable laws, rules and regulations.
- 76. As members of the Tekelec Board, the Individual Defendants were directly responsible for authorizing, permitting the authorization of, or failing to monitor the practices that resulted in violations of applicable laws as alleged herein. Each of them had knowledge of and actively participated in, approved, and/or acquiesced in the wrongdoing alleged herein or abdicated his or her responsibilities with respect to this wrongdoing. The alleged acts of wrongdoing have subjected the Company to unreasonable risks of loss and expenses.
- 77. Each of defendants' acts in causing or permitting the Company to disseminate material misrepresentations and omissions to the investing public and abdicating his or her oversight responsibilities to the Company have subjected the Company to liability for violations of applicable laws, and therefore were not the product of a valid exercise of business judgment, constituting a complete abdication of their duties as officers and/or directors of the Company. As a result of defendants' breaches, Tekelec is the subject of a major securities fraud class action lawsuit by defrauded investors, and the Company's reputation in the business community and financial markets has been irreparably tarnished.

COUNT II (AGAINST THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS FOR GROSS MISMANGEMENT)

- 78. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as though they were set forth in full herein.
- 79. Defendants had a duty to Tekelec and its shareholders to prudently supervise, manage, and control the operations, business, and internal financial accounting and disclosures of the Company. Defendants, however, by their actions and by engaging in the wrongdoing alleged herein, abandoned and abdicated their responsibilities and duties with regard to prudently managing the business of Tekelec in a manner consistent with the duties imposed upon them by law. By committing the misconduct alleged herein, defendants breached their duties of due care, diligence, and candor in the management and administration of Tekelec's affairs and in the use and preservation of the Company's assets.
- 80. During the course of the discharge of their duties, defendants were aware of the unreasonable risks and losses associated with their misconduct. Nevertheless, defendants caused Tekelec to engage in the scheme described herein which they knew had an unreasonable risk of damage to the Company, thus breaching their duties to the Company. As a result, defendants grossly mismanaged Tekelec, thereby causing damage to the Company.

COUNT III (AGAINST THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS FOR CONTRIBUTION AND INDEMIFICATION)

81. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as though they were set forth in full herein.

- 82. Tekelec is alleged to be liable to various persons, entities and/or classes by virtue of the facts alleged herein that give rise to defendants' liability to the Company.
- 83. Tekelec's alleged liability on account of the wrongful acts, practices, and related misconduct alleged arises, in whole or in part, from the knowing, reckless, disloyal and/or bad faith acts or omissions of defendants, and the Company is entitled to contribution and indemnification from each defendant in connection with all such claims that have been, are, or may in the future be asserted against Tekelec, by virtue of the Individual Defendants' misconduct.

COUNT IV (AGAINST THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS FOR ABUSE OF CONTROL)

- 84. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as though they were set forth in full herein.
- 85. The Individual Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, constituted an abuse of their control over Tekelec.
- 86. As a direct and proximate result of the Individual Defendants' abuse of control, the Company has suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages for which the Individual Defendants are liable. Plaintiff, moreover, has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT V (AGAINST THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS FOR WASTE OF CORPORATE ASSETS)

- 87. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as though they were set forth in full herein.
- 88. The Individual Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, constituted a waste of the corporate assets of Tekelec.

AMENDED SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE COMPLAINT

89. As a direct and proximate result of the Individual Defendants' abuse of control, the Company has suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages for which the Individual Defendants are liable. Plaintiff, moreover, has no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- A. Against all of the Individual Defendants and in favor of the Company for the amount of damages sustained by the Company as a result of the Individual Defendants' breaches of fiduciary duties;
- B. Awarding to plaintiff the costs and disbursements of the action, including reasonable attorneys' fees, accountants' and experts' fees, costs, and expenses; and
- C. Granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

DATED: March 17, 2011

GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP

LIONEL Z. GLANCY EX KANO S. SAMS II LOUIS BOYARSKY 1801 Avenue of the Stars,

1801 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 311 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-9150 Facsimile: (310) 201-9160

THE BRISCOE LAW FIRM, PLLC WILLIE C. BRISCOE
The Preston Commons
8117 Preston Road, Suite 300
Dallas, Texas 75225

Telephone: (214) 706-9314 Facsimile: (214) 706-9315 Counsel for Plaintiff Vivian R. Dulberg AMENDED SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE COMPLAINT - 54 -

Case 8:11-cv-00351-JVS -RNB Document 6-2 Filed 03/18/11 Page 5 of 7 Page ID #:125

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case \$:11-cv-00351-JVS -RNB Document 6-2 Filed 03/18/11 Page 7 of 7 Page ID #:127